

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/628,817	07/28/2003	Hideki Ozawa	1259-03	5866	
35811 IP GROUP OF	7590 12/28/2006 F DLA PIPER US LLP	EXAMINER			
ONE LIBERTY PLACE			AHMED, SHEEBA		
1650 MARKE PHILADELPH	T ST, SUITE 4900 IIA, PA 19103		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
•	,		1773		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
•			12/28/2006	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/628,817	OZAWA ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Sheeba Ahmed	1773		

<del>-</del>					
	Sheeba Ahmed	1773			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress		
THE REPLY FILED <u>14 November 2006</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS	S APPLICATION IN CONDITION F	OR ALLOWANCE.			
1.  The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods:	wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in o ce with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply mo	idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	ice, which FR 41.31; or (3)		
a) The period for reply expires 4 months from the mailing date			•		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I					
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	06.07(f).				
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropri inally set in the final Offi	ate extension fee ce action; or (2) as		
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed</li> </ol>	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th			
AMENDMENTS	·				
<ol> <li>The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,</li> <li>(a) They raise new issues that would require further co</li> <li>(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below</li> </ol>	nsideration and/or search (see NO		ecause		
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in befappeal; and/or	tter form for appeal by materially re	ducing or simplifying	the issues for		
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).		ected claims.			
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).		
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)	· ·				
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>	lowable if submitted in a separate,	timely filed amendme	nt canceling the		
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		l be entered and an e	explanation of		
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected:					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:					
<u>AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE</u> 3.	t before or on the date of filing a No	ntice of Anneal will no	t he entered		
because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).					
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to of showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar</li> </ol>	vercome all rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fai	ls to provide a		
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attach	ed.		
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER  11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but the considere	t does NOT place the application ir	n condition for allowar	nce because:		
See Continuation Sheet.  12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)					
13.  Other:					
	(I)	uld Mas			

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06)

**Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)** 

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Independent claims 25, 34, and 35 recite that the antistatic film comprises a polyimide film having "no conductive ultrafine particles". There is no support for such an amendment in the original disclosure. The Applicants point to Page 8, line 19 of the Specification for support however this section of the Specification states that "Because the antistatic film of the invention contains no conductive ultrafine particles in the film, the original mechanical properties of the film itself are maintained". In other words, there is support for the entire antistatic film not containing particles but no support for just the polyimide layer not containing particles.